Aims and objectives
This course offers students the opportunity to pursue their interests in contemporary social theory at an advanced level. The course encourages students to use social theory in order to think creatively, constructively and critically about the ways in which the social and political world is changing today. The course takes for granted an intermediate level of knowledge of classical and contemporary social theory; students are expected to develop and extend their knowledge of key thinkers by reading their work in greater depth. However, the course itself is organized around problems and issues, not around thinkers and texts. The emphasis is on encouraging students to practice social theory by thinking theoretically about particular problems and issues. The course seeks to bring social theory alive by getting students to draw on the resources of social theory in order to understand the world of the 21st century and how it is changing.

Course aims:
• To enable students to pursue their interests in social theory at an advanced level.
• To enable students to read a selection of theoretical texts in detail.
• To encourage students to use social theory to analyse particular aspects or characteristics of contemporary societies.
• To encourage students to think creatively, constructively and critically about how the social and political world is changing today.

Course organization
The course is organised in terms of discrete modules – i.e., clusters of lectures or seminars. Each module consists of around four hours of lectures or seminar-based teaching, often scheduled as two two-hour sessions. The modules are focused on particular problems or themes and are taught by leading practitioners of social theory today. The content of the modules will vary from year to year, depending on the availability of members of staff. In 2023-24 the modules listed below will be offered:

**Michaelmas 2023**
Dr Filipe Carreira da Silva, ‘Introduction to Advanced Social Theory: Rethinking Populism’ (weeks 3-4)
Professor Véronique Mottier, ‘Reparatory Justice’ (weeks 5-6)
Dr Michelle Westerlaken, ‘Thinking With More-than-Human Entities' (weeks 7-8)

**Lent 2023**
Professor Patrick Baert, ‘Existence Theory and Contemporary Culture’ (weeks 3-4)
Dr Nomisha Kurien, ‘“The robot will see you now”: The Ethics of Technological Care’ (weeks 5-6)
Professor Julian Go, ‘Decolonial Social Thought’ (weeks 7-8)

**Easter 2024**
Dr Filipe Carreira da Silva, Revision Session
**Course format**

We will hold lectures and seminars in person. The usual lecture format will include an in-person presentation of approximately 1 hour in length, and 1 hour of in-person course discussion and related activities. All sessions will include slides and/or related material for each lecture on the VLE. Course materials are only to be used by the cohort of students enrolled in this course and only for the current academic year (2023-24). These materials may not be shared more widely.

**Supervision**

Supervision will be provided either by the individuals teaching the modules or by other supervisors who have agreed to supervise for this paper. One or two contacts are given for each module and they will either do the supervision or help arrange it. Each student is to pick 3 modules to have supervisions on. If students or Directors of Studies encounter difficulties they should contact the Course Organiser.

**Revision**

A one-hour revision class is scheduled at the beginning of Easter Term.

**Assessment**

The course will be assessed by means of an examination paper with three questions. All exam scripts will be submitted through Turnitin to ensure texts do not contain plagiarised material.
Brief description of modules and reading lists

MICHAELMAS TERM 2022

1. Introduction to Advanced Social Theory – Dr Filipe Carreira da Silva

Introduction: Rethinking Populism
Especially after the 2008 financial crisis, the term ‘populism’ has become one of the buzzwords of our time. Journalists, politicians, pundits and scholars alike have come to apply the term to a vast array of phenomena across the political spectrum: from anti-establishment political movements on both left and right (Greece’s Syriza, Spain’s Podemos, Italy’s Five Stars, the Tea Party and Occupy Wall Street movements in the United States), through political leaders (e.g., Erdogan in Turkey, and Orban in Hungary) and candidates (e.g., Donald Trump and Bernie Sanders in the US), to parties and currents within parties challenging the traditional political mainstream from the inside (e.g., the National Front in France). Yet, amongst socio-political concepts, populism has pride of place as one of the most contentious, being variously characterized as ideology, logic, discursive frame, strategy/organization, a mode of political practice, or, for those privileging its performative aspects, political style.

In this module, I take one step back, and call this labelling into question. What unites and disunites the above-mentioned phenomena? Does the ‘populist’ theoretical/conceptual framework help us make sense and explain these phenomena, or, on the contrary, does such a framework inhibit our understanding of what these phenomena are and what they are about? Do the different understandings of populism itself, both conceptually and methodologically allow for significantly different levels of understanding and scientific analysis of the phenomena in question? In the first session, I discuss the rise of ‘populist politics’ in Europe and in the United States and examine different conceptualizations of ‘populism’ and their operationalization for the purpose of analysis. In the second session, I consider how populism has characteristics of utopian thinking: populist leaders use highly moralized images of the past to castigate the present and promise the eminent advent of a new future.

Session 1:
Required Reading

Recommended Reading


**Session 2:**

*Required Reading*

*Recommended Reading*

**Essay questions**
1. What factors led to the rise of populism in recent years?
2. Is populism an ideology?
3. “The utopia of the Populists was in the past, not the future.” (Hofstadter) Discuss.

**Supervision**
Contact FC Silva at fcs23@cam.ac.uk

---

2. **Reparatory Justice - Professor Véronique Mottier**

This module focuses on reparatory justice and its limits. We shall explore attempts at practical implementation of this theoretical concept in different settings, raising issues of oppositional storytelling, acknowledgement, memorialisation, compensation, reconciliation and transitional justice. In the first session, we shall approach reparatory justice from the angle of victims of institutional abuse, identifying resistance and activist tactics through case studies of the treatment of Travellers. Taking the example of the Yenish (Traveller) ethnic minority, we shall start with examining the effects of racial and eugenic theories on the reproductive rights of this group in the Swiss context. The reproductive bodies of Yenish women became a privileged target of policies of forced child placements and coerced sterilisations that aimed to destroy Traveller culture between the 1920s and 1970s. Victims of these cumulative repressions of reproductive rights have since made claims for recognition and compensation which have been only partly successful. Against this backdrop, we shall ask: how can the powerless make their voices heard? We will examine the activist repertoire that ‘victim-activists’ have used to push their political claims, from personal storytelling to collective action, and reflect upon the difficulties of campaigns for reparative justice for those whose voices continue to be marginalised within the nation.
The second session will examine reparative justice from the angle of (potential) institutional transformation through legacies of enslavement inquiries. Many British educational institutions including Oxbridge Colleges were historically intertwined with imperial and colonial networks of trade and industry. For example, they helped to train and recruit personnel for colonial industries and administration, benefitted from donations derived from the slave trade, or contributed to racial science which served to justify colonial rule. These entanglements have also left deep traces on the material environment of some educational spaces, from the funding of historic buildings to statues and memorials. We will discuss examples of recent legacies of enslavement inquiries to, firstly, reflect upon the scope for institutional change in elite institutions in the UK; secondly, we will analyse the repertoire of tactics used by ‘backlash activists’ against (potential) acknowledgement, critical memorialisation and reparation.

Overall, the lectures in this module will primarily focus on examples taken from Switzerland, the UK and Australia but students are encouraged to pick case studies from different contexts or on different topics of reparative justice for their essays. Students need to be aware that this module covers topics which may be triggering for some individuals, given its focus on responses to institutional violence including sexual and racial abuse.

Session 1

Required Reading

Recommended Reading

Session 2

Required Reading

Recommended Reading


Essay questions

1. Is reparative justice gendered?
2. What is ‘reparation’?
3. What is the role of ‘truth-seeking’ in processes of reparative justice?

Supervision
Contact Véronique Mottier at vm10004@cam.ac.uk

3. Thinking With More-than-Human Entities – Dr Michelle Westerlaken
This module aims to bring our understanding of social theory into the realms of thinking with more-than-human entities. Anna Tsing writes on the importance of cultivating “the arts of noticing” (Tsing 2015), and Haraway’s slogan advocates for a “Staying with the Trouble” (Haraway 2016). But what could this mean in a more-than-human world? How can the inclusion of more-than-human entities in social theory rework social collectives? In the first session we will introduce and challenge the notion of the more-than-human by unpacking this term, discussing alternatives from Indigenous scholarship, and questioning if we are even human individuals ourselves. By attending to more-than-human entanglements, and bringing in different examples, we will investigate a social theory that is more inclusive of the relations that other entities propose.

To further question the notion of more-than-human entanglements, the second session focuses on how more-than-human social theory may have different implications across marginalized groups and Indigenous worldvews. Here we will look at scholarship from fields like Critical Animal Studies, disability studies, and black feminist thought that focus on the inclusion of more-than-human entities in social theory.

Session 1: Posthumanism and More-Than-Human Entanglements

Required Reading


Recommended Reading


### Session 2: More-than-human? Less than Human?

**Required Reading**


**Recommended Reading**


Essay questions
1. What could it mean to think-with more-than-human entities in sociology?
2. How can more-than-human approaches to sociology offer different understandings of the Anthropocene?
3. How does the focus on more-than-human entanglements risk reproducing social inequalities for both human- and multispecies communities?

Supervision
Contact Dr. Michelle Westerlaken at mw833@cam.ac.uk

LENT TERM 2023

4. Existence theory and contemporary culture – Professor Patrick Baert

We introduce the main tenets of existence theory as a proposal for a new sociological perspective, and we show how this theory can be used to highlight distinctive features of late modernity and some of the inequalities involved in society today. Underlying the theory is the assumption that (a) people organise themselves around the pursuit of a set of key existential milestones, (b) these milestones are being pursued in a certain sequence, sometimes with the help of others, and (c) these milestones have a structuring effect on society. We pay particular attention to the extent to which, more recently, individualisation, technologies and inequalities have altered the pursuit of existential milestones.

Section 1 – Existence Theory

Reading


Session 2 – Cultural trauma and politics

Reading
Eyerman, Ron. 2015. Is this America? Katrina as Cultural Trauma. Austin: University of Texas Press.

Essay questions
1. How do existential milestones help structure social life?
2. Do horrific events naturally become cultural traumas?

Supervision
Contact Patrick Baert at pjb100@cam.ac.uk

5. “The robot will see you now”: The ethics of technological care – Dr. Nomisha Kurian

Rapid advances in Artificial Intelligence (AI) compel sociological attention to questions of human welfare. Drawing on feminist care theory, we will take social robots as our case study. Social robots perhaps come closest to the “walk-and-talk” robots immortalised in cinema. As one of the fastest-growing areas of AI, the social robot market is projected to reach $17.2 billion by 2028. Designed to interact with humans and to look, sound and feel trustworthy and appealing, social robots have already been used as companions to vulnerable populations, such as elderly people, children with disabilities, and dementia patients. Some countries such as Japan have officially committed to prioritising them to support ageing populations and shrinking resources for eldercare.
We will examine the ethical implications of a future where AI-led care becomes more prevalent. Students will make up their own minds about pressing sociological debates around the devaluation of care, changing labour markets, human rights, techno-solutionism, and the blurring boundaries between humans and machines.

Session 1:

We will explore the feminist ethic of care, examining the affective and relational dimensions of social justice. While analysing the implications of technology for affective and social relations, we will introduce ourselves to a variety of AI models, from Paro, a therapeutic robot baby harp seal for patients of hospitals and nursing homes, to Hug, designed to assist care workers in lifting elderly people.

Required reading

Recommended reading

Session 2:

In this session, we will delve into the ethical issues raised by AI-led care. Students will have the opportunity to explore and form their own perspectives on critical sociological debates surrounding the devaluation of care, the shifting dynamics of labour markets, human rights considerations, the impact of techno-solutionism, and the complex interplay between humans and machines. We will navigate the multifaceted implications of AI-led care and its far-reaching consequences for individuals, societies, and our understanding of what it means to provide and receive care in an increasingly technologically mediated world.

Required reading:

**Recommended reading:**

**Essay questions**
1. Sociologist Judy Wajcman writes, “We may be suckers for the wide eyes and endearing giggles of affective robots, but to advocate the use of robots for empathetic care (...) mistakes the appearance of care with real empathy.” To what extent do you agree or disagree?
2. Discuss the ethical implications of care mediated, driven by, or otherwise negotiated through technology.
3. What might be the broader social and cultural consequences of relying on social robots for caregiving?

**Supervisions**
Contact Nomishka Kurian at nck28@cam.ac.uk
6. Decolonizing Social Theory – Professor Julian Go

In the mid-twentieth century, anticolonial movements and intellectuals generated wide-ranging critiques of imperialism and colonialism that influenced what later became known as “postcolonial theory” (and variants, such as “decolonial” thought). This module explores the relevance of this postcolonial/decolonial critique for social theory. It also discusses attempts to reconstruct social theory in a more postcolonial or decolonized form. What does the history of social theory tell us about its current form? What are the biases in social (and sociological) theory that imperialism bequeathed? How do they connect with racist and Eurocentric thought? And how can we overcome these biases if we can at all? How might we decolonize social theory?

In the first session, we will discuss key components of the postcolonial critique, addressing how social knowledge has been shaped by empire. Drawing upon a sociology of knowledge and standpoint theory, we will discuss how conventional social theory often embeds a racialized, Eurocentric and colonial standpoint and the history that has generated this condition. We will also explore the various implications of this standpoint, discussing the limits of social theory that does not absorb the postcolonial critique. We will consider the extent to which social theory, and hence sociology, embeds racist, Eurocentric, and pro-imperial thinking.

Session 1:

Required Reading

Recommended Reading
Session 2:
In the second part, we will discuss the possibility of social theorizing that takes the postcolonial critique seriously and strives to overcome its imperialistic inheritance. We will discuss some of the different ways in which intellectuals have sought to craft alternative sociological imaginations. We will also discuss the promises and pitfalls of these approaches.

Required Reading

Recommended Reading


**Essay Questions**
1. What are the main limitations or biases of classical/conventional social theory and how are they related or not to imperialism?
2. What are the ways in which social theory might be decolonized, and what are the promises or limitations of these approaches?
3. What does a postcolonial/decolonial critique of knowledge offer us and what does it overlook?

**Supervisions**
Contact FC Silva at [fcs23@cam.ac.uk](mailto:fcs23@cam.ac.uk)